July 10, 2025
(2023-005.13)

Ms. Mitzi Kim Rios
Los Angeles County Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue, 9th Floor
Alhambra, California 91803

Re: Devil's Gate Reservoir Restoration Project – Phase 3 Restoration Qualitative Monitoring Conducted on March 24, 2025

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this letter report is to document the results of the qualitative monitoring (horticultural) conducted for the Devil's Gate Reservoir Restoration Project (Project), located in the City of Pasadena, Los Angeles County, California. The qualitative monitoring was conducted in the planted and or seeded portions of the Phase 3 mitigation areas including DG-4 WOUS, DG-4 WOUS Connections, DG-4A, the Tire Wash, and the Side Slopes (including Flint Wash). Due to the timing of container plant and stake installation being late in the ideal planting season in DG-4 WOUS, DG-4 WOUS Connections, DG-4A, and the Tire Wash, these areas were not seeded during the initial seed application effort. In addition, only a portion of the Side Slopes area was seeded during the initial seed application effort due to access issues created by wet soils. The seeding in DG-4 WOUS, DG-4 WOUS Connections, DG-4A, the Tire Wash, Flint Wash, and the remainder of the Side Slopes was completed during a follow-up seeding effort, which was initiated in November of 2023. The monitoring is being conducted in accordance with the Final Habitat Restoration Plan (HRP) for the Project. Active sediment removal is occurring on an annual basis within the sediment removal areas for the Project, and habitat restoration is being conducted onsite around the perimeter.

ECORP is responsible for conducting qualitative monitoring and compliance review of restoration efforts in each of the mitigation areas. ECORP is also responsible for preparing monitoring reports, which typically include the following information:

- Overall health of container plants,
- Observations and recommendations related to container plant establishment,
- Germination of native plant species from seed application and natural recruitment,
- Level of germination of nonnative plant species,
- Soil condition,
- Other observations and recommendations as appropriate.

Qualitative monitoring was conducted by Carley Adams on March 24, 2025. Field data collected during the monitoring event is provided as Appendix A. This report documents the third quarterly qualitative monitoring visit for the Phase 3 mitigation areas.

111 Academy Way ● Irvine, CA 92617 ● Tel: (714) 648-0630 ● Fax: (714) 648-0935 ● www.ecorpconsulting.com

2.0 QUALITATIVE MONITORING IN THE PHASE 3 MITIGATION AREAS

2.1 Brief Summary of Plant Installation

During the Phase 3 plant installation effort that was completed on April 28, 2023, a total of 4,124 4-inch container plants and a total of 4,967 cuttings were installed in the DG-4 WOUS, DG-4 WOUS Connections, and DG-4A mitigation areas. In addition, a total of 81 1-gallon container plants were installed in the Tire Wash mitigation area. Container plants and stakes (cuttings) were not installed in the Side Slopes or Flint Wash mitigation areas; however, these areas were included in the weed removal and seeding efforts. Table 1 lists container plant species and the numbers installed in each of the Phase 3 mitigation areas.

Table 1. Summary of Container and Stake Planting

Scientific Name	Common Name	DG-4 WOUS	DG-4 WOUS Connections	DG-4A	Tire Wash	Total
Artemisia douglasiana	Mugwort	192	21	537	0	750
Baccharis pilularis	Coyote bush	192	21	537	0	750
Baccharis salicifolia	Mulefat (stakes)	506	55	1,414	0	1,975
Populus fremontii	Fremont's cottonwood	192	21	537	0	750
Rosa californica	California rose	192	21	537	0	750
Rubus ursinus	California blackberry	192	21	537	0	750
Salix gooddingii	Black willow (stakes)	383	42	1,071	0	1,496
Salix lasiolepis	Arroyo willow (stakes)	383	42	1,071	0	1,496
Sambucus mexicana	Mexican elderberry	96	10	268	0	374
Acmispon glaber	Deerweed	0	0	0	9	9
Artemisia californica	California sagebrush	0	0	0	24	24
Encelia californica	California brittlebush	0	0	0	10	10
Eriogonum fasciculatum	California buckwheat	0	0	0	24	24
Isocoma menziesii	Menzie's goldenbush	0	0	0	5	5
Salvia mellifera	Black sage	0	0	0	9	9
	Total	**2,328**	**254**	**6,509**	**81**	**9,172**

All plants were installed according to the methods described in Section 4.11 of the HRP. Planting holes for all container plants were dug to a width twice the size of the root ball and to a depth slightly deeper than the depth of the root ball so that the root crown was 1 inch below grade following installation. Prior to installation, all plants were thoroughly watered in their containers and the soil in planting holes was

wetted with at least 1 gallon of water. Planting holes were backfilled with native soil and irrigation basins, approximately 2 feet in width, were formed around the base of each plant. Rocks greater than 2 inches in diameter were removed to the extent possible from the backfill soil. All container plants were irrigated with at least 1 gallon of water immediately following installation and basin creation.

2.2 Qualitative Monitoring Methods

Qualitative monitoring occurs monthly following the 120-day Plant Establishment Period for the remainder of Year 1 (8 months). Following Year 1, qualitative monitoring will occur quarterly during Years 2 and 3 and twice per year during Years 4 through 10. The purpose of the qualitative monitoring is to assess container plant health and vigor and monitor the success of the mitigation areas.

During the March 24, 2025 visit, all Phase 3 mitigation areas were walked, the health and vigor of container plants were documented, germination from seeding and natural recruitment was noted, and the irrigation lines were inspected for functionality. In addition, the level of nonnative and invasive weed cover was estimated for each of the Phase 3 mitigation areas.

2.3 Qualitative Monitoring Results

Overall, the Phase 3 mitigation areas appear to be performing well. Evidence of dieback from *Phytophthora cactorum*, including wilting, stunted growth, leaf spotting, and/or browning along leaf margins and tips, was not observed in any of the mitigation areas during the monitoring visit. Formal mortality counts were taken for the Phase 3 mitigation areas during the 2024 quantitative monitoring events. Some of the container plants within the Phase 3 mitigation areas were noted as lacking well defined basins and should have their basins properly constructed and/or repaired. Most of the willows (*Salix* spp.) and Fremont's cottonwoods (*Populus fremontii*) were starting to come out of seasonal dormancy and were producing new foliage. The installation of plants in the Phase 3 mitigation area appears to have been completed successfully and the current issues identified during the monitoring visit are not expected to influence the continued growth of the plants in the mitigation areas.

2.3.1 DG-4 WOUS/DG-4 WOUS Connections

The overall health of the container plants in DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections was noted as being good. Approximately less than 5 percent of the container plants and stakes in DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections were noted as showing signs of stress and a negligible amount were noted as being dead or missing. This is the same percentage of plants that were showing stress during the previous monitoring visit. Stress may be occurring as a result of: 1) herbivory by rabbits or other wildlife, 2) competition from nonnative and invasive weeds, 3) misplaced emitters, or 4) recreational traffic through the mitigation areas. Photos 1 through 4 (Appendix B) document the mitigation areas during the monitoring visit.

Native plant germination from seed application and natural recruitment was noted throughout the DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections mitigation areas. The native species observed sprouting in the DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections mitigation areas included mulefat (*Baccharis salicifolia*), Canada horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis*), Hooker's evening primrose (*Oenothera elata*), California rose (*Rosa*

californica), Mexican elderberry (*Sambucus mexicana*), and stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*). Native cover was estimated to be approximately 75 percent during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover in DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections was estimated at approximately 35 to 40 percent, which is approximately 33 to 38 percent higher than the percentage of weed cover that was observed during the previous monitoring visit. This is likely because nonnative weeds tend to proliferate during the spring and summer. Nonnative species observed included black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), hairy bitter cress (*Cardamine hirsuta*), poison hemlock (*Conium maculatum*), and perennial pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolium*). Most of the nonnative weeds observed in these mitigation areas were just starting to germinate and had not gone to flower or started to produce seed. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease.

2.3.2 DG-4A

The overall health of the container plants in DG-4A was noted as being good and this mitigation area is becoming well established. Approximately less than 5 percent of the container plants and stakes in DG-4A were noted as showing signs of stress which is approximately the same percentage of plants which were showing signs of stress during the previous monitoring visit. This included the remaining container plants from the first installation and the container plants that were installed to replace the original container plants and stakes planted in the middle section of DG-4A that appeared to be dead or in a condition unlikely to recover during previous monitoring visits. Stress may be occurring due to similar reasons described for DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections. Photos 5 through 8 in Appendix B document the mitigation area during the monitoring visit.

Native plant germination from seed application and natural recruitment was noted throughout the DG-4A mitigation area. Native plants such as mugwort (*Artemisia douglasiana*), mulefat, Hooker's evening primrose, California rose, and stinging nettle were observed sprouting in the mitigation area. Native cover was estimated to be approximately 65 percent during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover in the DG-4A mitigation area ranged from approximately 25 to 40 percent during the monitoring visit, which is approximately 15 to 30 percent higher than what was observed during the previous monitoring visit. This is likely because nonnative weeds tend to proliferate during the spring and summer. Nonnative species observed included black mustard, poison hemlock, and perennial pepperweed. Most of the nonnative weeds observed in these mitigation areas were just starting to germinate and had not gone to flower or started to produce seed. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease; however, perennial pepperweed easily resprouts from rhizomatous roots that can be difficult to fully remove with hand tools.

2.3.3 Tire Wash

The overall health of the container plants in the Tire Wash mitigation area was noted as being good. Less than 5 percent of the container plants were noted as showing varied levels of stress, which is the same percentage of plants that were showing stress during the previous monitoring visit. This included the remaining container plants from the first installation and the replacement container plants that were

installed to replace the container plants that were either missing or appeared to be dead or in a condition unlikely to recover during previous monitoring visits. Stress may be occurring due to similar reasons described for DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections. In addition, some of the container plants are being smothered by deerweed (*Acmispon glaber*), which is proliferating in this area. The irrigation system was found to be functioning properly within this mitigation area. Photo 9 in Appendix B documents the mitigation area during the monitoring visit.

Native plant germination from natural recruitment was noted throughout the Tire Wash mitigation area. Native plants such as deerweed, California sagebrush (*Artemisia californica*), tall flatsedge (*Cyperus eragrostis*), telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), ladies' tobacco (*Pseudognaphalium californica*), and coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*) were observed sprouting in the mitigation area. Native cover was estimated to be approximately 85 to 90 percent during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover in the Tire Wash mitigation area was estimated at approximately 5 to 10 percent, which is approximately 4 to 9 percent higher than the percentage of nonnative cover that was observed during the previous monitoring visit. Nonnative species observed included black mustard, red-stemmed filaree (*Erodium cicutarium*), and Spanish broom (*Spartium junceum*). Most of the nonnative weeds observed in this mitigation area were just starting to germinate and were not going to flower or producing seed. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease.

2.3.4 Side Slopes and Flint Wash

Container plants were not installed on the Side Slopes or in Flint Wash, but these areas were seeded with native plant species. Native plant growth was noted throughout the Side Slopes and Flint Wash, likely both from natural recruitment and from seeding. Minor to moderate erosion was present at the base of the Side Slopes and the hydroseeded portions of the Side Slopes where previous erosion had occurred showed minimal germination; however, the level of native cover was observed to be higher in these areas during the March monitoring visit than during previous monitoring visits and some areas appear to be stabilizing. The lower level of germination on these portions of the Side Slopes could be due to lack of soil nutrients, lack of organic matter, and/or incorrect compaction levels. Native plants such as mugwort, mulefat, California buckwheat (*Eriogonum fasciculatum*), Hooker's evening primrose, black willow (*Salix gooddingii*), and black sage (*Salvia mellifera*) were observed sprouting on the Side Slopes and in Flint Wash. Overall native cover was estimated to be approximately 50 to 55 percent during the monitoring visit; however, portions of the Side Slopes that have previously had erosion issues had a lower level of cover. Photos 10 through 12 in Appendix B document the Side Slopes during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover on the Side Slopes and Flint Wash was estimated at approximately 25 percent overall, which is approximately 20 percent higher than the percentage of weed cover that was observed during the previous monitoring visit. This is likely because nonnative weeds tend to proliferate during the spring and summer. Nonnative species observed on the Side Slopes and Flint Wash included black mustard, poison hemlock, red-stemmed filaree, and perennial pepperweed. Most of the nonnative weeds observed in this mitigation area were just starting to germinate; however, some were starting to flower and will soon go to seed. These areas should be weeded prior to seed production. As weed abatement

continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease; however, perennial pepperweed easily resprouts from rhizomatous roots that can be difficult to fully remove with hand tools.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Nonnative Plant Control

Nonnative weed cover ranged from approximately 5 percent to 40 percent in the various mitigation areas. During the monitoring visit, most of the weeds observed within the mitigation areas were vegetative and were not going to flower or producing seed. Species that were observed to be in flower should be removed prior to seed production. Regular maintenance and removal of nonnative weeds is of the highest priority for all of the mitigation areas and side slopes to reduce competition between native and nonnative plants. A focus should be placed on removing the weeds and nonnatives from the basins of each of the container plants and cuttings; however, nonnative weeds just outside of the planting areas can migrate into the planting areas via seed dispersal. Outside of the nesting bird season, a focus should also be made to remove nonnative weeds in areas where least Bell's vireos are likely to nest during the breeding season (i.e., in the vicinity of the least Bell's vireo nest that was active in 2020). Nonnative plants and weeds that have gone to seed should be bagged and removed from the mitigation area. Without the use of herbicides, control of the nonnatives will be extremely difficult so the frequency and level of effort will need to be increased to provide control until the native plants and seedlings have a chance to grow and outcompete the nonnatives. In particular, it is important to maintain long-term perennial pepperweed management to reduce competition and allow native plants to germinate. In addition, dodder should be removed from container plants in the mitigation areas. Although many species of dodder are native, this parasitic plant can be harmful to younger shrubs and trees that are not yet established and can even cause mortality.

3.2 Irrigation

The irrigation system was inspected for functionality and appeared to be properly installed. Irrigation was actively occurring during the monitoring visit. Some of the emitters were observed to be outside of the container plant basins, likely due to erosion, water flow, and/or public interference. Twice weekly watering events should be conducted for the container plants unless adequate rainfall occurs. After watering, the container plant basins should have at least 0.5 inch of saturation depth. Continual maintenance of the irrigation system should be conducted to ensure all plants are evenly watered and the tube emitters are placed at the base of the container plants. Watering of the areas that were only seeded is not recommended.

3.3 Herbivory

Only minor herbivory of container plants was observed in the Phase 3 mitigation areas. Minor herbivory generally will not kill the plants, but continued monitoring should be conducted during future visits to determine if herbivory is worsening. As the plants become more established, they will be less susceptible to the effects of herbivory. It should be noted that cages were installed by Nature's Image around

container plants that appeared to be most susceptible to herbivory following container plant installation. Most of the container plants have become well enough established that their cages have been removed.

3.4 Erosion

Portions of the Side Slopes which were previously noted as having significant erosion that occurred as a result of heavy rainfall during the 2023 wet season and following the tropical storm in late August 2023 were noted as having been repaired during previous monitoring visits and were included in the supplemental seeding effort which was completed in November of 2023. Some additional erosion was observed at the toe of the Side Slopes in these areas and has the potential to worsen. As more perennial plants become established on the Side Slopes, erosion should become less of an issue; however, action will need to be taken to repair the damage caused by the erosion and measures should be taken to reduce damage from erosion in the future. In addition, if the supplemental seeding effort does not produce germination on the previously eroded Side Slopes, adaptive management techniques will likely be warranted. During future monitoring events, erosion should continue to be monitored in all planted areas and if warranted, erosion Best Management Practices should be installed in appropriate areas. This may only require the installation of straw wattles at select sites to prevent existing rills from becoming larger.

If you have any questions about the information presented in this letter, please contact me at Cadams@ecorpconsulting.com or (714) 732-9266.

Sincerely,

Carley (Lancaster) Adams
Senior Biologist

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Field Notes

Devil's Gate Quad Phase 3
3/24/25
C. Adams

DG-4 Woods/Connections

- Overall plants are doing well & becoming well est. ~5% w/ minor stress
- Some locking well def. basins
- Native germ: BACSAL, ERICAN OENELA, ROSCAL, SAMMEX, URTDIO ~75% native cover
- Nonnative germ: CARHIR, BRANIG, CONMAC, LEPLAT ~35-40% cover mostly all vegetative

DG-4A

- Overall plants are doing well & becoming well est <5% showing signs of stress
- Native germ: ARTDON, BACSAL, OENELA, ROSCAL, URTDIO ~65% cover overall
- Nonnative germ: BRANIG, CONMAC, LEPLAT ~25-40% cover mostly all vegetative

Scale: 1 square = _____

Tire Wash

- Site is thriving w/ <5% of cont. plants showing signs of stress
- ACMGLA continues to dominate this site
- Native germ: ACMGLA, ARTCAL, CYPERA, HETGRA, PSECAL, QUEAGR, ~85-90% cover
- Nonnative cover SPAJUN, EROCIC, BRANIG ~5-10%. mostly all vegetative

Side Slopes / Flint Wash

- Some areas still w/ minimal veg cover
- Native germ: ARTDOU, BACSAL, ERIFAS, OENELA, SALGOO, SALMEL ~50-55% cover
- Nonnative germ: BRANIG, CONMAG, LEPLAT, EROCIC ~25% cover

Scale: 1 square = _____

APPENDIX B

Photo Documentation

Photo 1. Overview DG-4 WOUS & WOUS Connections

Photo 2. Overview DG-4 WOUS & WOUS Connections

Photo 3. Overview DG-4 WOUS & WOUS Connections

Photo 4. Overview DG-4 WOUS & WOUS Connections

Photo 5. Overview DG-4A

Photo 6. Overview DG-4A

Photo 7: Overview DG-4A

Photo 8: Overview DG-4A

Photo 9: Overview Tire Wash

Photo 10: Overview Side Slopes

Photo 11: Overview Side Slopes

Photo 12: Overview Side Slopes